IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.W.P. No.9577 of 2006
Date of Decision: 15.5.2007

Ram Dia Vs. State of Haryana and others

....

Present : Mr.Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Anil Rahtee, Addl.A.G. Haryana.
Mr.Ashok Khubbar,Advocate for respondent no.2.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA

****

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgement ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgement should be reported in the Digest ?

P. SATHASIVAM, J (Oral)

C.M. No.3079 of 2007

C.M. is allowed. Reply filed on behalf of respondent no.2 is taken on record.

C.W.P. No.9577 of 2006

The petitioner in this petition, challenges the order dated 31.1.2006 passed by the Commissioner, Ambala Division, Ambala Cantt.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, we are not inclined to go into the details. We are satisfied that the authority concerned has not assigned valid reasons, except making the observations that nothing has been made out in these revision petitions as no new law points calling for interference in the order of the lower courts have been argued.

On going through the impugned order, we are satisfied that the matter requires reconsideration and order has to be passed by the Commissioner afresh, for dismissing the revision petitions. The matter is remitted to the Commissioner, Ambala Division, Ambala Cantt, with a direction to decide the matter afresh and pass a speaking order, within a period of three months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the above directions, the petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(P. SATHASIVAM)
JUDGE

15.5.2007
GS

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE